

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Addendum # 2



Department Of Executive Services
Finance and Business Operations Division
Procurement and Contract Services Section
206-684-1681 TTY RELAY: 711

DATE ISSUED: July 28, 2005

RFP Title: **PeopleSoft Human Resources/Payroll Application Upgrade**
Requesting Dept./ Div.: **King County Department of Executive Services / Finance & Business Operations Division**
RFP Number: **137-05RLD**
Due Date: **August 4, 2005 - 2:00 P.M.**
Buyer: Roy L. Dodman, roy.dodman@metrokc.gov (206) 263-4266

This addendum is issued to revise the original Request for Proposal, dated June 30, 2005 as follows:

1. The due date remains the same: Thursday, August 4, 2005, no later than 2:00 p.m. exactly.
2. Make the following changes to Section II, 4.1, Mandatory Experience, 1st bullet: (item previously changed in Addendum #1)

Delete:

- Experience successfully upgrading from PeopleSoft HRMS version 8.0 to HCM 8.8 or above on a minimum of (one) 1 project. To qualify, Projects must have been completed or substantially completed in the opinion of the County.

and Replace with:

- Experience successfully upgrading to PeopleSoft HCM 8.8 or 8.9 from a previous version of PeopleSoft on a minimum of (one) 1 project. To qualify, Projects must have been completed or substantially completed in the opinion of the County.

[\(continued on page 2\)](#)

TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR AWARD OF A CONTRACT, THIS ADDENDUM MUST BE SIGNED AND SUBMITTED TO KING COUNTY

Sealed proposals will only be received by:

King County Procurement Services Section, Exchange Building, 8th floor, 821 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104-1598. Office hours: 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday - Friday

Company Name

Address		City / State / Postal Code
Signature	Authorized Representative/Title	
Email	Phone	Fax

This Request for Proposal – Addendum will be provided in alternative formats such as Braille, large print, audiocassette or computer disk for individuals with disabilities upon request.

The following information is provided in response to additional questions received:

Q1: Section 2.1- Project Team (page 12) the RFP provides a table that identifies the roles and responsibilities of the Payroll Systems Support and Development team that can be dedicated to the upgrade project. This table (in part) indicates that the functional team will consist of 0.5 FTE for Payroll and 0.5 FTE for Benefits Administration. Since this is an HCM Applications Upgrade project and so much processing and testing dependency is focused on benefit deductions and payroll processing, is the County only allocating 0.5 FTE's for each role?

A1: Yes, 0.5 FTE each dedicated to Payroll and Benefits.

Q2: Please confirm the database size in gigabytes.

A2: 204 GB

Q3: Can the County provide the composition of the Steering Committee for this project (positions and/or names)?

A3: The Committee names are not available at this time.

Q4: Can the proposal due date be extended?

A4: No, due to the structured project timeframe, the County cannot extend the due date.

Q5: Answer 31f (page 10) of Addendum 1 states that *"The Benefits Health Information Project, which is implementing eBenefits using PeopleSoft 8.9 as part of another project, will be affected by any delays in the upgrade."*

We are confused whether or not to include eBenefits in our piece. Can the County clarify what you are expecting the selected vendor to work on that implementation?

A5: The RFP does not include the upgrade of eBenefits.